

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United Stat	es Patent and Trademark Office
Address: CON	MMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O.	Box 1450
Alexa	andria, Virginia 22313-1450
www	uento gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,484	12/30/2003	Farrell G. Badger	EBC-0124-D2	. 4052
23413	7590 10/24/2006		EXAMINER	
	COLBURN, LLP	BERGIN, JAMES S		
	ROAD SOUTH LD, CT 06002	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3641	
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 4 4 4 4	L A 12 - 14-3				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/750,484	BADGER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		James S. Bergin	3641				
1	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	. ,						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 De	ecember 2003 and 07 August 20	<u>06</u> .				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.						
1	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
1	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected.						
i	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
	·	r					
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ▼ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2003 is/are: a) ▼ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the correction is objected to by the Ex-						
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)L	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
į.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	de)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Theories Summer	(PTO_413)				
3) 🔀 Inform	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
	No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/30/2003</u> .	6) Other:					
U.S. Patent and Tri PTOL-326 (Re		tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20061014				

Application/Control Number: 10/750,484 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species F, the embodiment depicted in Figures 6 and 7 in the reply filed on 8/7/2006 is acknowledged. As currently written, claims 1-39 are considered broad enough to read on the elected species.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 15 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 15, line 1, it is unclear whether the limitation, "a shell" refers to the previously recited "shell" in line 3 of claim 1 or to a different shell?

In claim 30, line 1, it is unclear whether the limitation, "a shell" refers to the previously recited "shell" in line 3 of claim 16 or to a different shell?

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422

Application/Control Number: 10/750,484

Art Unit: 3641

F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 5. Claims 1-39 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-45 of U.S. Patent No. 6,334,395 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because any differences there-between comprise nothing more than that which was well within the knowledge level of one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-39 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-53 of U.S. Patent No. 6,668,725 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because any differences there-between comprise nothing more than that which was well within the knowledge level of one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-39 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-61 of U.S. Patent No. 6,120,627.

 Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because any differences there-between comprise nothing more than that

Art Unit: 3641

which was well within the knowledge level of one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James S. Bergin whose telephone number is 571-272-6872. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Wednesday and Friday, 8.30 - 5.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 571-272-6873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

James S. Bergin